	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/815,210	SWEARINGEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PELING A. SHAW	2144	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) _PELING A. SHAW_.   (3)_____.

(2) _Gerald Glanzman (reg. no. 25,035)_.   (4)_____.

Date of Interview: _09 September 2008_.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
      c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☒ Yes   e)☐ No.
   If Yes, brief description: _Response to Pre-Interview Communication_.

Claim(s) discussed: _1, 6 and 11_.

Identification of prior art discussed: _Appelman (US 6750881 B1) and Tang et al. (US 5960173 A)_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.   g)☒ was not reached.   h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _Applicant discusses the proposed amendment ans state that the amendment would overcome Appelman and Tang in combination. Examiner will review the official amendment against current and other potential arts_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.